

Regional Assessment Survey on Community Life Engagement

▶ **Goal & Purpose:**

- ▶ The Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities collected information to supplement the data collected at our regional stakeholder meetings.
- ▶ These surveys have been used to develop a targeted technical assistance plan to support people and organizations across the state in a better way as we move from facility based services to community based services.

▶ **Methodology:**


- ▶ The survey was sent out electronically via survey monkey to stakeholders across the state of Ohio.

Online Survey Participants

Survey was available from July 31, 2017-October 23, 2017

Total Responses = 746

Total Counties Represented = 87 of 88



Half of respondents reported that they were halfway through their planned transformation process to move from facility based services to community based services

Service Provided by Participants

- ▶ Breakdown of Services Provided
 - ▶ 82% - Non-Medical Transportation
 - ▶ 56% - Adult Day Support
 - ▶ 35% - Individual Employment Supports
 - ▶ 28% - Vocational Habilitation
 - ▶ 17% - Career Planning
 - ▶ 16% - Group Employment Supports

Response Areas & Scale

- ▶ **Responses were captured in six areas:**
 - ▶ Leadership
 - ▶ Strategic Goals and Operating Policies
 - ▶ Financing and Contracting Methods
 - ▶ Training and Technical Assistance
 - ▶ Interagency Collaboration and Membership
 - ▶ Service & Service Innovation
 - ▶ Performance Measurement and Data Management
- ▶ **Responses were captured on a scale of effectiveness:**
 - ▶ Not in Place
 - ▶ In Place - Minimally Effective
 - ▶ In Place - Needs Review
 - ▶ In Place - Effective

Findings: Leadership

Percentage of participants that responded that leadership sent a consistent message that community life engagement and competitive, integrated employment should be supported in each person's life.

	In Place
Providers	86%
County Boards	100%
ICFs	94%

Findings: Leadership

Percentage of participants that responded that leadership demonstrates that involvement and support of people served, families, and caregivers is a critical resource to community life engagement and competitive, integrated employment outcomes.

	In Place
Providers	88%
County Boards	99%
ICFs	100%

Findings: Leadership

Percentage of participants that responded that leadership empowers personnel to demonstrate and support the values embedded in person-centered planning.

	In Place
Providers	92%
County Boards	99%
ICFs	100%

Findings: Strategic Goals & Operating Policies

Percentage of participants that responded that they have policies surrounding community life engagement, or supporting people with IDD to access and participate in their communities outside of employment as part of a meaningful day.

	In Place
Providers	85%
County Boards	92%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Strategic Goals & Operating Policies

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization has policies around competitive, integrated employment as part of a full life.

	In Place
Providers	74%
County Boards	99%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Strategic Goals & Operating Policies

Percentage of participants that responded that policies require person-centered planning and are clearly reflected in practices.

	In Place
Providers	92%
County Boards	100%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Strategic Goals & Operating Policies

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization uses practices that help individuals and their families/caregivers see beyond “programs” and understand that services help them to meet their personal outcomes.

	In Place
Providers	90%
County Boards	98%
ICFs	100%

Findings: Strategic Goals & Operating Policies

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization has a strategic plan that is continuously monitored and updated.

	In Place
Providers	86%
County Boards	97%
ICFs	75%

Findings: Financing & Contracting Methods

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization provides services or access to services through an array of providers (if applicable) and through multiple funding streams that support community life engagement and competitive, integrated employment.

	In Place
Providers	66%
County Boards	99%
ICFs	84%

Findings: Financing & Contracting Methods

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization's strategic plan outlines strategies to increase utilization of braided funding.

	In Place
Providers	57%

Findings: Financing & Contracting Methods

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization has modified financial planning and contracting methods to support individualized services that prioritize community life engagement as well as competitive, integrated employment.

	In Place
Providers	61%

Findings: Training & Technical Assistance

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization sets priorities for training and technical assistance to support people in pursuing community life engagement outcomes.

	In Place
Providers	79%
County Boards	96%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Training & Technical Assistance

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization sets priorities for training and technical assistance to support people in pursuing competitive, integrated employment outcomes.

	In Place
Providers	78%
County Boards	96%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Training & Technical Assistance

Percentage of participants that responded that personnel receive training on how to support people in pursuing community life engagement as well as competitive, integrated employment.

	In Place
Providers	77%
County Boards	95%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Training & Technical Assistance

Percentage of participants that responded that staff receive training and tools to provide education and support to see community life engagement as well as competitive, integrated employment as attainable, desirable outcomes.

	In Place
Providers	78%
County Boards	98%
ICFs	92%

Findings: Training & Technical Assistance

Percentage of participants that responded that individuals, families, and caregivers are provided with education and support to see community life engagement and competitive, integrated employment as attainable, desirable outcomes.

	In Place
Providers	76%
County Boards	96%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Training & Technical Assistance

Percentage of participants that responded that personnel receive training on the evolution of services from institutional to community based, and the life outcomes of people as a result.

	In Place
Providers	80%
County Boards	93%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Interagency Collaboration and Partnership

Percentage of participants that responded that they involve individuals, families, and caregivers in identifying and leveraging their connections and networks to support access to community life engagement and competitive, integrated employment opportunities.

	In Place
Providers	77%
County Boards	93%
ICFs	92%

Findings: Interagency Collaboration and Partnership

Percentage of participants that responded that there is regular communication and collaboration with local partners.

	In Place
Providers	78%
County Boards	98%
ICFs	100%

Findings: Interagency Collaboration and Partnership

Percentage of participants that responded that local partnerships exist beyond the disability service system.

	In Place
Providers	74%
County Boards	96%
ICFs	83%

Findings: Service & Service Innovation

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization has a system in place to support individualized services that prioritize community life engagement as well as competitive, integrated employment regardless of intensity of need.

	In Place
Providers	77%

Findings: Performance Measurement & Data Management

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization has set yearly benchmarks to increase community life engagement as well as competitive, integrated employment outcomes for persons served.

	In Place
Providers	67%
County Boards	81%
ICFs	50%

Findings: Performance Measurement & Data Management

Percentage of participants that responded that their organization has a way to collect the data to track progress towards benchmarks.

	In Place
Providers	70%
County Boards	79%
ICFs	58%